| NAME OF THE COURSE | | MANAGERIAL | DECISION M | IAKING | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---|--|--|
| Code | ECMA | .01 | Year of study | / II. | | | | |
| Course teacher | Ivana I profess | Bulog, associate sor Iatić, associate | Credits (ECT | 4 | | | | |
| Associate teachers | | | Type of instr (number of h | uction | S E 13 | F | | |
| Status of the course | Option | | Percentage o application o | f e-learning | | | | |
| | | | E DESCRIPT | | | | | |
| Course objectives | make c | lecisions which wilg with the complex | l enable them to | and applied knowledge develop skills necessar ms and effective decisio | y for active | • | | |
| Course enrolment requirements and entry competences required for the course | Entry requirements are defined by the Statute of the Faculty of Economics and Study Regulations | | | | | | | |
| Learning outcomes expected at the level of the course (4 to 10 learning outcomes) | Recognize and use specific decision-making models, concepts and principles. Individual learning outcomes are: Recognize and distinguish the basic concepts and principles related to the concept of managerial decision-making Recognize and use appropriate methods and techniques for making managerial decisions Apply the acquired knowledge for solving practical problems - suggesting decision making styles and decision-making processes for specific business situations. Analyse and interpret the concrete examples from the managerial decision-making the practice. | | | | | | | |
| Course content | Lectures | | | Seminar | s | | | |
| broken down in detail by weekly class schedule (syllabus) | | oncept of manageri | al 2 | Defining individual / tasks (assignmen | | 1 | | |
| | Decis | ion-making theorie | s 2 | Case study/Class disc Video content anal | | 1 | | |
| | Decis | ion-making styles | 2 | Case study/Class disc | cussion | 1 | | |
| | Mana | gerial decision mal | cing 2 | Case study/Class disc | cussion | 1 | | |

| | Individual and group decision | | Practical exercise-Six hats | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| | making | 2 | technique on concrete example | 1 | | |
| | | | commission on contract champs | | | |
| | Decision making under risk and uncertainty | | Practical tasks | 1 | | |
| | | 2 | Practical tasks | 1 | | |
| | Decision making constrains | | Quiz 1 | 1 | | |
| | Decision maker and his | 2 | Case study/Class discussion | 1 | | |
| | preferences | 2 | Video content analysis | 1 | | |
| | Managerial decision-making methods | 2 | Case study/Class discussion | 1 | | |
| | Organizational aspects of managerial decision making | 2 | Case study/Class discussion | 1 | | |
| | Psychological and Sociological | | Tasks (assignments) | | | |
| | aspects of managerial decision | 2 | presentation | 1 | | |
| | making | | Video content analysis | | | |
| | Contant and the first section and the | | Tasks (assignments) | | | |
| | Contemporary decision-making | | presentation | 1 | | |
| | approach | | Video content analysis | | | |
| | Ethics in managerial decision | 2 | Case study/Class discussion | 1 | | |
| | making | 2 | Quiz 2 | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | x <u>lectures</u> | хі | ndependent assignments | | | |
| T | x <u>seminars</u> x exercises | | x <u>analysis of video materials</u> | | | |
| Format of instruction | \Box on line in entirety | | ☐ laboratory | | | |
| instruction | x partial e-learning | □ work with mentor | | | | |
| | ☐ field work | X self-evaluation quizzes | | | | |
| | It is recommended that students par | ticipa | ate in classes and assignments. Att | endance, | | |
| Student responsibilities | attitude and preparation are important. When evaluating students' participation, the | | | | | |
| | emphasis is on the class discussion, preparation of individual or group assignments. | | | | | |
| | Students are expected to be willing to study and learn, being ready to answer or ask | | | | | |
| | questions, and actively listen to the professor and other class members. During | | | | | |
| | semesters, 2 self-evaluation quizzes will be carried out with the aim of preparing | | | | | |
| responsionnes | students for 2 knowledge tests. The condition for attaining a signature, which is also | | | | | |
| | a condition for taking the exam, is 50% of class's attendance Students also need to | | | | | |
| | participate in self-evaluation quizzes. The quizzes do not contribute to the final grade, but are required for the participation in tests and final evaluation. | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | |

| | | I | 1 | Ι | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Screening student work (name the proportion of ECTS credits for each activity so that the total number of ECTS credits is equal to the ECTS value of the course) | Class attendance | 1 | Research | | Practical trainin | g |
| | Experimental work | | Report | | Individual or group assignments (Case Studies, vide materials and other) | 0.5 |
| | Essay | | Seminar essay (individual or group presentation of study material) | 0.5 | (Other) | |
| | Tests | 2 | Oral exam | | (Other) | |
| | Written/oral exam | 3 | Project | | (Other) | |
| Grading and evaluating student work in class and at the final exam | During the semester, two written or oral tests of knowledge will be held that bring 70% of the total final grade. Appropriate grades for written knowledge tests: 0-49 - inadequate (1) 50-65 - sufficient (2) 66-75 - good (3) 76-85 - very good (4) 86-100 - excellent (5) Most lectures include an in-class case studies /exercises, analysis of video materials or articles, individual or group presentation of study material. These class activities are worth 30% of the final grade. The final grade is formed as a sum: 1) average grade obtained through two written or oral tests multiplied by an indicator weight of 0.7. and 2) average grade obtained through case studies /exercise or presentations of individual/group assignments multiplied by an indicator weight of 0.3. A student who successfully passes both tests and in other form of grading achieves positive evaluation is considered to have passed the exam. Otherwise he/she takes the written or oral exam. | | | | | |
| Do guino d litemetumo | Title | | | Number of copies in | Availability via other | |
| Required liferature | | | the librery | modic | | |
| Required literature (available in the | 77: T : T = | , т т | AN CIC | C | the library | media |
| (available in the library and via other | Yi-Lin, J., For (2020): Manag | | - | | 1 | media https://ug1lib.o rg/book/52608 |
| (available in the | | erial Deci | - | | 1 | https://ug1lib.o |

| | materials) will be posted on course web site on the Moodle | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Schermerhorn, R.J., Bazerman, H.M., Managerial | | | | | |
| | Decison Making for Keller Graduate School of | | | | | |
| | Management, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 2003. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Books: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Monahan, K. (2018). How Behavioral Economics Influences Management | | | | | |
| | Decision-Making: A New Paradigm, Elsevier Science | | | | | |
| | 2.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50.50. | | | | | |
| | Schermerhorn, R.J., Bazerman, H.M. (2003). Managerial Decison Making for | | | | | |
| | Keller Graduate School of Management, John Wiley & Sons Inc. | | | | | |
| | Reflet Graduate School of Management, John Whey & Sons Inc. | | | | | |
| | Bridge, ByJ. and Dodds, J. C. (2018). Managerial Decision Making, Routledge. | | | | | |
| | Bridge, Bys. and Bodds, s. C. (2010). Managerial Decision Making, Routledge. | | | | | |
| | Articles: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Optional literature | Abatecola, G., Caputo, A. and Cristofaro, M. (2018), "Reviewing cognitive | | | | | |
| (at the time of | distortions in managerial decision making: Toward an integrative co-evolutionary | | | | | |
| submission of study | framework", Journal of Management Development, Vol. 37 No. 5, pp. 409-424. | | | | | |
| programme | https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-08-2017-0263 | | | | | |
| proposal) | | | | | | |
| | Kunigami M., Kikuchi T., Takahashi H., Terano T. (2020) A Formal, Descriptive | | | | | |
| | Model for the Business Case of Managerial Decision-Making. In: Jezic G., Chen- | | | | | |
| | Burger J., Kusek M., Sperka R., Howlett R., Jain L. (eds) Agents and Multi-Agent | | | | | |
| | Systems: Technologies and Applications 2020. Smart Innovation, Systems and | | | | | |
| | Technologies, vol 186. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15- | | | | | |
| | 5764-4_33 | | | | | |
| | 3704 4_33 | | | | | |
| | Calabretta, Giulia et al. (2017). The Interplay between Intuition and Rationality in | | | | | |
| | Strategic Decision Making: A Paradox Perspective. Organization Studies, 38, pp. | | | | | |
| | 365 - 401. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Abdul-Rasheed Amidu, David Boyd & Fernand Gobet (2019) A Study of the | | | | | |
| | Interplay between Intuition and Rationality in Valuation Decision Making, Journal | | | | | |
| | of Property Research, 36:4, 387-418, DOI: 10.1080/09599916.2019.1687572 | | | | | |
| | of Freporty Research, 30.7, 307-710, DOI: 10.1000/07377710.2017.1007372 | | | | | |

| | Internet sources: www.ted.com/ www.poslovni.hr www.liderpress.hr |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Quality assurance methods that ensure the acquisition of exit competences | Students' feedback via questionnaires. The evaluation by the head of the postgraduate professional study and the vice-dean of education. External evaluation is conducted by independent external experts. |
| Other (as the proposer wishes to add) | |