NAME OF THE COURSE	MANAGERIAL DECISON MAKING									
Code	EUBB()1	Year of	study	T	III.				
Course teacher	Ivana E profess Ivan M profess		Credits (ECTS) 5							
Associate teachers				Type of instruction (number of hours)		L 26	S	E 26	F	
Status of the course	Option	Percenta applicat		f f e-learnin	30%	30%				
COURSE DESCRIPTION										
Course objectives	To provide students better understanding and applied knowledge of how people make decisions which will enable them to develop skills necessary for active dealing with the complex business problems and effective decision making in business.									
Course enrolment requirements and entry competences required for the course	Entry requirements are defined by the Statute of the Faculty of Economics and Study Regulations									
Learning outcomes expected at the level of the course (4 to 10 learning outcomes)	 The learning outcome of the course is: Recognize and use specific decision making models, concepts and principles. Individual learning outcomes are: Recognize and distinguish the basic concepts and principles related to the concept of managerial decision-making. Recognize and use appropriate methods and techniques for making managerial decisions. Apply the acquired knowledge for solving practical problems - suggesting decision making styles and decision making processes for specific business situations. Analyse and interpret the concrete examples from the managerial decision-making practice. 									
Course content	Lectures				Sem	Seminars				
broken down in detail by weekly class schedule (syllabus)		oncept of manager on making	rial	2		ing indivional		CS	2	
	Decis	on-making theorie	es	2	Case st	udy/Class	discussi	ion	2	
								ı		

	II 5 · · · · ·		Г			
	Decision making styles	2	Case study/Class discussion Video content analysis	2		
	Managerial decision making process	2	Case study/Class discussion	2		
	Individual and group decision making	2	Practical exercise-Six hats technique on concrete example	2		
	Decision making under risk and uncertainty	2	Practical tasks	2		
	Decision making constrains	2	Practical tasks Quiz	2		
	Decision maker and his preferences	2	Video content analysis			
	Managerial decision making methods	2	Case study/Class discussion/ Tasks (assignments) presentation			
	Organizational aspects of managerial decision making	2	Case study/Class discussion/ Tasks (assignments) presentation	2		
	Psychological and sociological aspects of managerial decision making	2	Case study/Class discussion/ Tasks (assignments) presentation Video content analysis	2		
	Contemporary decision making approach	2	Tasks (assignments) presentation			
	Ethics in managerial decision making	2	Case study/Class discussion Quiz	2		
		<u> </u>				
Format of instruction	x lectures xseminars and workshops x exercises □ on line in entirety x partial e-learning □ field work	mu	analysis of video materials nultimedia laboratory work with mentor self-evaluation quizzes			

v :d					
x independent	<u>assignme</u>	<u>nts</u>			
It is recommended that students participate in classes and assignments. Attendance, attitude and preparation are important. When evaluating students' participation, the emphasis is on the class discussion, preparation of individual or group assignments. Students are expected to be willing to study and learn, being ready to answer or ask questions, and actively listen to the professor and other class members. During semesters, 2 self-evaluation quizzes will be carried out with the aim of preparing students for 2 knowledge tests. The condition for attaining a signature, which is also a condition for taking the exam, is 50% of class's attendance Students also need to participate in self-evaluation quizzes. The quizzes do not contribute to the final grade, but are required for the participation in tests and final evaluation.					
Class	1	Research		Practical training	
Experimental work		Report		Individual or group assignments (Case Studies, vide materials and other)	1
Essay		Seminar essay (individual or group presentation of study material)	1	(Other)	
Tests	2	Oral exam		(Other)	
Written/oral exam	4	Project		(Other)	
During the semester, two written or oral tests of knowledge will be held that bring 70% of the total final grade. Appropriate grades for written knowledge tests: 0-49 - inadequate (1) 50-65 - sufficient (2) 66-75 - good (3) 76-85 - very good (4) 86-100 - excellent (5) Most lectures include an in-class case study /exercise, analysis of video materials or articles. Students need to prepare in written and oral form individual/group assignments on the subject of their choice. These class activities are worth 30% of the final grade is formed as a sum:					
	It is recommen attitude and preemphasis is on Students are ex questions, and semesters, 2 se students for 2 k a condition for participate in segrade, but are reclass attendance Experimental work Essay Tests Written/oral exam During the sem 70% of the total exam During the sem 70% of the total exam Most lectures if articles articles stude assignments or the final grade.	It is recommended that s attitude and preparation a emphasis is on the class of Students are expected to questions, and actively list semesters, 2 self-evaluate students for 2 knowledge a condition for taking the participate in self-evaluate grade, but are required for Class attendance Tests 2 Written/oral exam Tests 2 Written/oral exam Appropriate grades for word of the total final grade for word of the total final grade. Appropriate grades for word of the total final grade for word of the total final gr	attitude and preparation are important. emphasis is on the class discussion, pre Students are expected to be willing to squestions, and actively listen to the pro semesters, 2 self-evaluation quizzes wi students for 2 knowledge tests. The cor a condition for taking the exam, is 50% participate in self-evaluation quizzes. The grade, but are required for the participate attendance Class attendance	It is recommended that students participate in classes attitude and preparation are important. When evaluate emphasis is on the class discussion, preparation of in Students are expected to be willing to study and lear questions, and actively listen to the professor and off semesters, 2 self-evaluation quizzes will be carried of students for 2 knowledge tests. The condition for attate a condition for taking the exam, is 50% of class's attained in self-evaluation quizzes. The quizzes degrade, but are required for the participation in tests a attendance attendance attendance attendance are reseasy (individual or group presentation of study material). Tests 2 Oral exam Written/oral exam are project During the semester, two written or oral tests of known 70% of the total final grade. Appropriate grades for written knowledge tests: 0-49 - inadequate (1) 50-65 - sufficient (2) 66-75 - good (3) 76-85 - very good (4) 86-100 - excellent (5) Most lectures include an in-class case study /exercise articles. Students need to prepare in written are assignments on the subject of their choice. These classing the subject of their choice. These classing and the subject of their choice. These classing are recommended at the subject of their choice. These classing are subject of their choice.	It is recommended that students participate in classes and assignments. attitude and preparation are important. When evaluating students' partic emphasis is on the class discussion, preparation of individual or group a questions, and actively listen to the professor and other class members. semesters, 2 self-evaluation quizzes will be carried out with the aim of students for 2 knowledge tests. The condition for attaining a signature, a condition for taking the exam, is 50% of class's attendance Students a participate in self-evaluation quizzes. The quizzes do not contribute to trace and the participation in tests and final evaluation. Class attendance Research

	 average grade obtained through two written or oral tests multiplied by an indicator weight of 0.7 average grade obtained through case studies /exercise and through written and oral presentation of individual assignments multiplied by an indicator weight of 0.3 A student who successfully passes both tests and in other form of grading achieves positive evaluation is considered to have passed the exam. Otherwise he/she takes the written or oral exam. 					
	Title	Number of copies in the library	Availability via other media			
Required literature (available in the library and via other media)	Schermerhorn, R.J., Bazerman, H.M., Managerial Decison Making for Keller Graduate School of Management, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 2003.					
	Teaching materials (handouts, supplemental materials) will be posted on course web site on the Moodle					
	Yi-Lin, J., Forrest J., Kurt, N., Sifeng Liu, S. (2020): Managerial Decision Making, A Holistic Approach, Springer		https://ug1lib.o rg/book/52608 93/233aab			
	D 1					
Optional literature (at the time of submission of study programme proposal)	Monahan, K. (2018). How Behavioral Economics Influences Management Decision-Making: A New Paradigm, Elsevier Science Schermerhorn, R.J., Bazerman, H.M. (2003). Managerial Decison Making for Keller Graduate School of Management, John Wiley & Sons Inc. Bridge, ByJ. and Dodds, J. C. (2018). Managerial Decision Making, Routledge. Articles:					
	Abatecola, G., Caputo, A. and Cristofaro, M. (2018), "Reviewing cognitive distortions in managerial decision making: Toward an integrative co-evolutionary framework", Journal of Management Development, Vol. 37 No. 5, pp. 409-424. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-08-2017-0263					

	Kunigami M., Kikuchi T., Takahashi H., Terano T. (2020) A Formal, Descriptive Model for the Business Case of Managerial Decision-Making. In: Jezic G., Chen-Burger J., Kusek M., Sperka R., Howlett R., Jain L. (eds) Agents and Multi-Agent Systems: Technologies and Applications 2020. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies, vol 186. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-5764-4_33
	Calabretta, Giulia et al. (2017). The Interplay between Intuition and Rationality in Strategic Decision Making: A Paradox Perspective. Organization Studies, 38, pp. 365 - 401.
	Abdul-Rasheed Amidu, David Boyd & Fernand Gobet (2019) A Study of the Interplay between Intuition and Rationality in Valuation Decision Making, Journal of Property Research, 36:4, 387-418, DOI: 10.1080/09599916.2019.1687572
	Internet sources:
	www.ted.com/
	www.forbes.com
	www. poslovni.hr
	www.liderpress.hr
Quality assurance methods that ensure the acquisition of exit competences	Students' feedback via questionnaires. The evaluation by the head of the postgraduate professional study and the vice-dean of education. External evaluation is conducted by independent external experts.
Other (as the proposer wishes to add)	External evaluation is conducted by independent external experts.