	JRSE	QUALITY MANAGE	EMENT					
Code	EUT40	EUT403 Year of study 1.						
Course teacher		na Grubišić, Ph.D.	Credits (ECTS)		5			
ssociate teachers Doris Po		odrug, mag.oec.	Type of instruction (number of hours)		L 26	S	E 26	F
Status of the course	Electiv		Percentage of application of e-learning		40%			
			DESCRIPT					
Course objectives		n of the course is to enal ment and to evaluate wi						
Course enrolment requirements and entry competences required for the course								
Learning outcomes expected at the level of the course (4 to 10 learning outcomes)	 Compile the proposal and implement solutions in the area of quality management (level 7 according to the CQF). Individual learning outcomes: Critically evaluate quality as a relative category and its indicators (level 7 according to CQF). Generalize gurus quality insights and classify quality management systems (level 7 according to CQF). Identify and evaluate quality management elements (level 7 according to CQF). Suggest a specific method, technique or problem-solving tool; develop such a method and issue a solution proposal (level 7 according to the CQF). Argue your opinion about business excellence and quality awards (level 7 according to CQF) 							
	CQF). Lectures Exercises			Exercises				
	Them		Hours	Theme			Н	
	1. Intr	oduction; Quality pt; Quality Indicators	2		/ork: Qua	P.6		ours
	2. Quality as relative category					lity		ours 2
		ality as relative egory	2	Indicators 2. Teamwor relative cate	egory	y as		
	3. Phi	ality as relative		Indicators 2. Teamwoor relative cate 3. Teamwoor Guru Qualit	egory rk: Applyi ty Princip	y as ng the le		2
Course content	3. Phi Frame	ality as relative egory losophies and	2	Indicators 2. Teamwor relative cate 3. Teamwor	egory rk: Applyi y Princip rk: Custo	y as ng the le		2 2
Course content broken down in	3. Phi Frame 4. Qu	ality as relative egory losophies and eworks	2	Indicators 2. Teamwor relative cate 3. Teamwor Guru Qualit 4. Teamwor requiremen 5. Teamwor	egory rk: Applyi ty Princip rk: Custo t t rk: Activit	y as ng the le mer and ies of Q	A	2 2 2
	3. Phi Frame 4. Qua 5. Qua 6. Qua	ality as relative egory losophies and eworks ality planning ality Assurance ality Control	2 2 2	Indicators 2. Teamwoor relative cate 3. Teamwoor Guru Qualit 4. Teamwoor requiremen	egory rk: Applyi ty Princip rk: Custo t rk: Activit rk: Contro	y as ng the le mer and ies of Q ol charts	A	2 2 2 2
broken down in detail by weekly	3. Phi Frame 4. Qua 5. Qua 6. Qua 7. Prir Mana Qualit Six sig	ality as relative egory losophies and eworks ality planning ality Assurance ality Control nciples of Quality gement, Models of ty Management: TQM gma	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Indicators 2. Teamwor relative cate 3. Teamwor Guru Qualit 4. Teamwor requiremen 5. Teamwor for attribute 7. Teamwor principle in	egory rk: Applyi ty Princip rk: Custo t rk: Activit rk: Activit rk: Contro s and var rk: Applyi the exam	y as ng the le mer and ies of Q ol charts riables ng the	A	2 2 2 2 2 2
broken down in detail by weekly class schedule	3. Phi Frame 4. Qui 5. Qui 6. Qui 6. Qui 7. Prir Mana Qualit Six sig 8. 1. c	ality as relative egory losophies and eworks ality planning ality Assurance ality Control nciples of Quality gement, Models of y Management: TQM gma colloquium	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Indicators 2. Teamwoor relative cate 3. Teamwoor Guru Qualit 4. Teamwoor requiremen 5. Teamwoor for attribute 7. Teamwoor	egory rk: Applyi ty Princip rk: Custo t rk: Activit rk: Activit rk: Contro s and var rk: Applyi the exam	y as ng the le mer and ies of Q ol charts riables ng the	A	2 2 2 2 2 2 2
broken down in detail by weekly class schedule	3. Phi Frame 4. Qua 5. Qua 6. Qua 7. Prir Mana Qualit Six sig 8. 1. c 9. Mo	ality as relative egory losophies and eworks ality planning ality Assurance ality Control nciples of Quality gement, Models of ty Management: TQM gma	2 2 2 2 2 , 2 , 2 , 2 , 2	Indicators 2. Teamwor relative cate 3. Teamwor Guru Qualit 4. Teamwor requiremen 5. Teamwor for attribute 7. Teamwor principle in	egory rk: Applyi ty Princip rk: Custo t rk: Activit rk: Contro s and var rk: Applyi the exam	y as ng the le mer and ies of Q ol charts riables ng the	A	2 2 2 2 2 2 2
broken down in detail by weekly class schedule	3. Phi Frame 4. Qua 5. Qua 6. Qua 7. Prir Mana Qualit Six sig 8. 1. c 9. Mo Mana 10. M Mana	ality as relative egory losophies and eworks ality planning ality Assurance ality Control nciples of Quality gement, Models of ty Management: TQM gma colloquium dels of Quality	2 2 2 2 2 , 2 , 2 , 2 , 2	Indicators 2. Teamwoo relative cate 3. Teamwoo Guru Qualit 4. Teamwoo requiremen 5. Teamwoo for attribute 7. Teamwoo principle in 8. 1. colloqu	egory rk: Applyi ty Princip rk: Custo t rk: Activit rk: Contro s and var rk: Applyi the exam uium rk: 5S	y as ng the le mer and ies of Q ol charts riables ng the uple	A	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

	n		1						
	12. Tools of Q		2		12. Teamw	ork: Affinity dia	gram	2	
	Management - basic 13. Tools of Quality					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	13. Tools of Quality Management –				13. Teamw	ork: Tree diagr	am	2	
	management tools								
	14. Business e		e 2		14. Teamw	work: Matrix diagram		2	
	15. 2. colloquium				15. 2. collo	quium			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,			-				
Format of instruction	☑ lectures ☑ independent assignments								
	□ seminars and workshops □ multimedia					assignments			
	exercises				laboratory				
	□ on line in ent	•			work with m	entor			
	✓ partial e-lear	rning			(othe				
	☐ field work								
Student responsibilities	The condition f								
	full-time studer	nts and 35	% for part-time	me s	students. Att	ending classes	assum	es active	
	participation in	group wo	ork on exercis	sers	•				
Screening student	Class	0,5	Research			Practical trainir	ng 0,5		
work (name the proportion of ECTS	attendance	0,5	11000aiUII				ig 0,0		
	Experimental		Report			(Other)			
credits for each		work Seminar			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
activity so that the total number of ECTS credits is	Essay essay				(Other)				
			Oral exam			(Other)			
equal to the ECTS value of the course)	Written exam	tten exam Project			(Other)				
Grading and evaluating student work in class and at the final exam	During semester, students will have two colloquia. In order to access the second colloquium, the first one should achieve at least 45% of the correct answers. Successful solving of both colloquia (at least 60% of the correct answers) forms the overall grade. Alternatively, if students do not pass the exam through a colloquy, they can take it in writing during the exam period. Students who want a higher rating will be able to answer orally. Percentage thresholds and corresponding grades for written knowledge assessment: 0-59 inadequate (1) 60-70 sufficient (2) 71-80 good (3) 81-90 very good (4) 91-100 excellent (5)								
	71-80 good (3 81-90 very go	3) bod (4)							
	71-80 good (3 81-90 very go 91-100 excelle	3) bod (4) ent (5)	Fitle			Number of copies in the library	othe	bility via r media	
	71-80 good (3 81-90 very go 91-100 excelle Šiško Kuliš, M	3) bod (4) ent (5) ., Grubišio	ź, D., Upravl			copies in	othe	-	
Required literature	71-80 good (3 81-90 very go 91-100 excelle Šiško Kuliš, M kvalitetom, Ekc	3) bod (4) ent (5) ., Grubišio onomski f	ć, D., Upravl akultet u Spl	itu,	Split, 2010.	copies in the library	othe	r media	
(available in the	71-80 good (3 81-90 very go 91-100 excelle Šiško Kuliš, M kvalitetom, Ekc Hardjono, T. i v	3) bod (4) ent (5) ., Grubišio onomski f van Keme	ć, D., Upravl akultet u Spl nard, E. (202	itu, 21):	Split, 2010. The	copies in the library	othe	r media	
(available in the library and via other	71-80 good (3 81-90 very go 91-100 excelle Šiško Kuliš, M kvalitetom, Ekc Hardjono, T. i v Emergence Par	3) bod (4) ent (5) ., Grubišio onomski f van Keme adigmi n	ć, D., Upravl akultet u Spl nard, E. (202 Quality Man	itu, 21): ager	Split, 2010. The ment. A	copies in the library	othe	r media	
(available in the	71-80 good (3 81-90 very go 91-100 excelle Šiško Kuliš, M kvalitetom, Ekc Hardjono, T. i v Emergence Par Way Towards I	3) bod (4) ent (5) ., Grubišia <u>onomski f</u> van Keme adigmi n Radical In	ć, D., Upravl akultet u Spl nard, E. (202 Quality Man novation. Sp	itu, 21): ager ring	Split, 2010. The ment. A ger .	copies in the library	othe	r media	
(available in the library and via other	71-80 good (3 81-90 very go 91-100 excelle Šiško Kuliš, M kvalitetom, Ekc Hardjono, T. i v Emergence Par Way Towards I Luthra, S	3) bod (4) ent (5) ., Grubišia <u>onomski f</u> van Keme radigmi n Radical In S., Garg, I	ć, D., Upravl akultet u Spl nard, E. (202 Quality Man novation. Sp D., Agarwal,	itu, 21): ager ring A.,	Split, 2010. The ment. A ger . Mangala,	copies in the library	othe	r media	
(available in the library and via other	71-80 good (3 81-90 very go 91-100 excelle Šiško Kuliš, M kvalitetom, Ekc Hardjono, T. i v Emergence Par Way Towards I Luthra, S S. K. (2021): To	3) bod (4) ent (5) ., Grubišio <u>conomski f</u> van Keme adigmi n Radical In S., Garg, I otal Quali	ć, D., Upravl akultet u Spl nard, E. (202 Quality Man novation. Sp D., Agarwal, ity managem	itu, 21): ager ring A., ent (Split, 2010. The ment. A ger . Mangala, (TQM).	copies in the library	othe	r media	
(available in the library and via other	71-80 good (3 81-90 very go 91-100 excelle Šiško Kuliš, M kvalitetom, Ekc Hardjono, T. i v Emergence Par Way Towards I Luthra, S	3) bod (4) ent (5) ., Grubišio <u>conomski f</u> van Keme adigmi n Radical In S., Garg, I otal Quali	ć, D., Upravl akultet u Spl nard, E. (202 Quality Man novation. Sp D., Agarwal, ity managem	itu, 21): ager ring A., ent (Split, 2010. The ment. A ger . Mangala, (TQM).	copies in the library	othe	r media	

Optional literature (at the time of submission of study programme proposal)	Lazibat, T., Upravljanje kvalitetom, Znanstvena knjiga, Zagreb, 2009. Oslić, I., Kvaliteta i poslovna izvrsnost, MEP Consult, Zagreb, 2008.			
Quality assurance methods that ensure the acquisition of exit competences	 Monitoring attendance and performance of other student obligations (teacher) Teaching Supervision (Vice Dean for teaching) Analysis of the success of studies in all subject studies (Vice Dean for teaching) Student Survey on the Quality of Teachers and Teaching for Each Subject Study (UNIST, Center for Quality Improvement) The examination conducted by the subject teacher examines all learning outcomes of the subject. Periodic examination of the content of the exam is conducted on the basis of which the appropriateness of the method of checking the learning outcomes (Vice Dean for teaching) 			
Other (as the proposer wishes to add)				